O.F. 72.

1928.

Department of Land Rev and Sett

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Chepauk

Misc **No.** 4285

95414

Dated 20-12-28

To whom sent		Date	Initial.
	Given for copying		
	Copied		
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched	21	
	Indexed		
Pages in the file**	To be destroyed on*		

(SUBJECT.)

Survey — ~~Cost rates~~ Kumari Villages — cost rates — working out — adoption of resurvey areas — Director's proposal approved

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

.2981

32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

S U R V E Y DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... Registered 5414

26.11.28

From (Name) L.G.B. Firth Esq.,

(Designation) Director Of Survey,

Post Box 404, (Station) Triplicane.

Telegraphic Address Survey Madras.

To The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue and Settlement,
Chepauk, M a d r a s.

R.O.C. *No.* 769-S/F/'28, *dated* 23rd Novr. 1928.

Sir,

Enclosures .....

.....

.....

Spare Copies .....

Cost rates - Kumari villages - working out - Resurvey areas - Board's approval - Requested.

Please see the enclosed copy of the letter Roc. No.382/D/Ac., of '28 dated 14-9-28, of the Officer in charge, No. V Survey Party, Cannanore.

Under the circumstances explained therein, the only equitable and feasible method of apportionment must of course be on resurvey areas, as there is no means of reconciling the old and resurvey areas, the former being obviously wrong. But it is legally objectionable to adopt the resurvey areas unless the apportionment is postponed until the supplemental survey is completed when the resurvey areas will become the latest revenue areas. I therefore request the favour of Board's approval for working out the cost rates for the kumari villages on resurvey areas after completion of survey in all respects though it will involve considerable delay in the matter of adjustment.

24 NOV 1928

For Director Of Survey.

cms
24.11.28

Enclosure.

Letter from M.R.Ry., M.N.Ramaswamy Ayyar Avl., M.A. Officer in charge, No. V Survey Party, Cannanore, to

the Director of Survey, Madras, No. Roc.382-D/Ac./'28 dated 14th September 1928.

Ref: Central Office orders on the statement of outstanding advances for June '28 - Working out of cost rates for the two villages experimentally surveyed in the kumari villages - Kasaragod taluk.

The two experimental villages for which cost rates are to be worked out are given in the margin with their revenue areas under different heads as furnished by the Tahsildar as per latest fasli accounts.

Details of revenue area furnished by the Tahsildar.

V.No.	Name	Patta Ac.	Govt. Ac.	Total Ac.
86	Kodoth	4384	259	4643
100	Panathadi	25224	8565	33789

2. These two villages were completed under Process H and on a comparison of the old and new areas, large differences over the percentage allowed are noticed in both the villages and the areas furnished by the Tahsildar are far less.

3. The revenue area of V.No.86 Kodoth is 4643 acres and the area credited in E.F., is also 4643 acres. New traverse area is 4853 acres and new area by fields is 4873-39 acres. It is therefore evident that the old area was wrong. There were no old traverses for the villages and the old survey was plane table. In apportioning cost resurvey area is to be adopted for fields of over 50 acres in extent and this area is 4443-31 acres as per resurvey records in patta lands whereas the total patta extent as furnished by the Tahr. including fields of less than 50 acres is only 4384.38 acres. It is therefore not possible to work out the cost rate according to the method in force taking old area for fields of less than 50 acres and resurvey area for fields of more than 50 acres.

4. Village No.100 Panathadi. - Old revenue area is 33789 - area credited in field is 33789 acres. New traverse area is 35106 acres, and new area by fields is 35021-26 acres.

5. This difficulty is likely to arise in all the kumari villages. As the old area is not likely to tally with the new in many cases, the old area being inaccurate, instructions are solicited as to how the rates are to be worked out in kumari villages.

For Director of Survey

3

Cost rates - Kumari Villages -

Work wig out - Resurvey areas -

Read ℓ 5414/28

Refee ℓ 5414/28-1 Dy 30-11-'28

The Director of Survey is requested to report when the Resurvey of the Kumari villages will be completed and what the approximate amount likely to be received will be

(From orders)-

To be reminded on 10/29

Sd 30.11.28 30/11/28. 30/11/28

To

The Director of Survey

30/11/28

Dy

1.12

Phone No.2981

C.F. 32 (a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

SURVEY DEPARTMENT.

4

BOARD OF REVENUE
14 DEC 1928
LAND REVENUE & SETTLEMENT

Received ..... *Registered* 95666

From (Name) L. G. B. Firth Esq.,

(Designation) Director Of Survey,

Post Box 404, (Station) Triplicane.

Telegraphic Address Survey Madras.

To The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue and Settlement,
Chepauk, M a d r a s.

R.O.C. *No.*769-S/F/28 *dated* 12th Decr. 1928.

Sir,

Enclosures .....

.....

.....

Spare Copies .....

Cost rates - Kumari villages - Working out - Resurvey areas - Board's Reference - Reply - Submitted.

Please see Board's Reference No.G.5414/28-1 dated 30-11-1928.

The resurvey of the kumari villages is expected

5

) be completed in all respects including mapping etc., by about the end of the official year 1931-32, and the approximate amount to be recovered from the ryots will be about Rs.30,000/- the Government share being about Rs.20,000/-.

For Director Of Survey. 13/12/28

13/12/28

M.S.B.13-12-28

R. F. XXII-A-19.—22,004 - 1-2-28. (6)

Subject.— 6

FOR MEMBER. Survey - Cost rates - Kumari Villages - adoption of resurvey areas - Director's proposal - approved.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ dated _____ 192 .

" " " _____ , " _____ 192 .

READ ~~ALSO~~ :—

Register No. G5414/28, From the D.S. } Q
B.D.' Refee G5414/28-1 & 30-11-28 ,No. _____, dated _____ 192 .

" " G5666/28 " from the D.S. , " _____, " _____ 192 .

" " _____ " " " _____ , " _____, " _____ 192 .

RESOLUTION. Misc No. 4285, dated 20-12- 192 8

In the circumstances reported by the D.S. in his letter first read above, the Board approves his proposal to work out the Cost rates for the Kumari villages after completion of resurvey.

20/12/28 20/12/28 20/12/28 (From orders)

To

The Director of Survey.
Copy to the Secretary to Govt RD.
with a copy of the Director's
first letter dt. with enclos

Survey - Cost rates - Kumari villages - adoption of resurvey areas.

Mr
AS (Sett)

Read G 574 14/28

To A.S. (Sett)

Please see the Director of Survey's letter below requesting that the cost-rates for the Kumari villages may be worked out with reference to the resurvey areas instead of the latest adangal areas with reference to.

The differences in extent between the latest adangal areas furnished by the Taluk and the traverse areas in respect of the villages of 'Koddk' and 'Panathadi' are large as will be seen from the copy of the survey party officers letter to Kdks (at pz of). In the village of Koddk' the extent of the occupied portion as per the latest Rev accs is only 4.384 acs while according to the resurvey area the extent of the occupied fields of over 50 acs alone is shown as 4443.31 acs. Further it is pointed out that there were no old traverse areas for the Kumari villages and that therefore the old areas are evidently inaccurate. The D.S. requests that the cost rates may be worked on the basis of the resurvey areas after the completion of survey in all respects. ~~as the~~ ~~is legally objectionable~~

As the old areas are reported to be inaccurate, it is doubtful if the instructions issued

issued recently in B.P. No. 3976/28 dt. 24.11.28 Spare copy/ies w/s below can be applied for the Kumari villages.

~~For orders.~~ To obviate the difficulty the D.S wants to wait till the survey is completed & the resurvey areas become the latest adangal areas. ~~Before~~ This will of course involve considerable delay. Before passing orders the D.S may be asked to state when the resurvey of the Kumari villages will be completed & the approximate amount involved.

Sr 30/11/28 — To A.S.(Sett)

Draft submitted.

Sr 30.11.28. Cr 30/11/28. Cr 30/11/28

[Issued as Board's Ref. No. 5414/28-1 dt. 30-11-28] p.3 of
[Read reply w/s No. 5666/28 from the D.S.] pp 4 & 5 of

To A.S.(Sett)

Please see the Director's reply at pp 4 and 5 of.

The survey of the Kumari villages is expected to be completed in all respects only by the end of 1931-32. The ryots' share of Survey Charges is estimated at Rs 30,000/- According to the Director's proposal the collection of the above amount will ~~have to be made~~ by commence only from the beginning of 1932-33.

~~For orders~~ The D.S says that the Survey

Cr 30/11/28 — A.S.(Sett)

A.S.(Sett)

M/2 A.S.(Sett)

Sr 15/12

If the Kumeri village will be Completed in all respects including mapping by the end of 1931-32. Perhaps the reserve areas will be available by the end of 1930-31.

For orders whether we can wait till then the amount involved being Rs 30,000. As the old survey area is said to be very inaccurate there seems to be no other way

CQ
15/2/28.

Put up B.P.I. Accts.
18/2/28

The D.S.'s proposal to apportion the Cost after completion of the survey may be appd. and a copy marked for Sett.

19/2/28

To A.S. (Sett)

Draft submitted.

20/2/28 CQ 20/2/28

A-S (Sett)